## For the Northern District of California

Dated: March 15, 2012

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	MEI-FANG LISA ZHANG, et al.,	
10	Plaintiff,	No. C 07-04946 JSW
11	V.	ORDER CLARIFYING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON MOTION TO SET
12	WEI-MAN RAYMOND TSE, et al.,	ASIDE JUDGMENT
13	Defendants.	/
14		
15	On March 14, 2012, Defendant James Yu filed a motion to set aside the judgment in this	
16	case and noticed it for hearing on April 27, 2012. Because that date was not available for	
17	hearings, Defendant re-filed the motion on March 15, 2012 and set it for hearing on May 25,	
18	2012. However, Defendant did not correctly enter the dates on which the opposition and reply	
19	briefs are due. The Court issues this Order to clarify the correct briefing schedule. Because	
20	Defendant re-filed the motion in its entirety, in accordance with Northern District Civil Local	
21	Rule 7-3(a) and 7-(3)(c), the opposition brief is due on March 29, 2012 and the reply brief is	
22	due on April 5, 2012.1	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

The Court notes that, in the future, if counsel needs to re-notice a hearing, counsel should simply file a notice with a new hearing date.